

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA250 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

1 - The instructor was prepared for class. Sarah Davis													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%										
Agree	(3)	3	21.43%										
Strongly Agree	(4)	11	78.57%										
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty		
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty			Mean	STD
14/16 (87.5%)		3.79	0.43	2,768			3.58	0.61	2,768			3.58	0.61

2 - The instructor began and ended the class on time. Sarah Davis													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%										
Agree	(3)	4	28.57%										
Strongly Agree	(4)	10	71.43%										
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty		
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty			Mean	STD
14/16 (87.5%)		3.71	0.47	2,762			3.59	0.61	2,762			3.59	0.61

3 - The instructor provided clearly stated goals for each class meeting. Sarah Davis													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%										
Agree	(3)	3	21.43%										
Strongly Agree	(4)	10	71.43%										
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty		
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty			Mean	STD
14/16 (87.5%)		3.64	0.63	2,748			3.56	0.65	2,748			3.56	0.65

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA250 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

4 - The instructor maintained classroom discipline. Sarah Davis												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.64	3.54	3.54				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%									
Agree	(3)	5	35.71%									
Strongly Agree	(4)	9	64.29%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty		Mean	STD
14/16 (87.5%)		3.64	0.50	2,750			3.54	0.63	2,750		3.54	0.63

5 - The instructor covered course material described in the syllabus. Sarah Davis												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.64	3.55	3.55				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%									
Agree	(3)	5	35.71%									
Strongly Agree	(4)	9	64.29%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty		Mean	STD
14/16 (87.5%)		3.64	0.50	2,740			3.55	0.61	2,740		3.55	0.61

6 - The instructor provided timely and thorough feedback appropriate to the assignment. Sarah Davis												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.62	3.47	3.47				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%									
Agree	(3)	5	38.46%									
Strongly Agree	(4)	8	61.54%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty		Mean	STD
13/16 (81.25%)		3.62	0.51	2,724			3.47	0.71	2,724		3.47	0.71

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA250 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

7 - The instructor presented the course material in an organized and logical sequence.
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	6	42.86%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	8	57.14%								
Return Rate				Mean	STD						
14/16 (87.5%)				3.57	0.51	All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
						2,729	3.49	0.68	2,729	3.49	0.68

8 - The instructor distributed the workload of the course evenly throughout the quarter.
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty			
Disagree	(2)	2	14.29%								
Agree	(3)	7	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	5	35.71%								
Return Rate				Mean	STD						
14/16 (87.5%)				3.21	0.70	All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
						2,742	3.45	0.70	2,742	3.45	0.70

9 - The instructor guided me to think independently.
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	7	53.85%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	46.15%								
Return Rate				Mean	STD						
13/16 (81.25%)				3.46	0.52	All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
						2,724	3.50	0.67	2,724	3.50	0.67

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA250 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

10 - The instructor helped me to understand challenging concepts.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.57	3.46	3.46			
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%								
Agree	(3)	4	28.57%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	9	64.29%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/16 (87.5%)	3.57	0.65		2,718	3.46	0.71	2,718	3.46	0.71		

11 - The instructor related the major topics of the course to my career and/or my life.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.36	3.50	3.50			
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%								
Agree	(3)	7	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	42.86%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/16 (87.5%)	3.36	0.63		2,731	3.50	0.69	2,731	3.50	0.69		

12 - The instructor created an environment which encouraged open discussion of course material.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.43	3.51	3.51			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	8	57.14%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	42.86%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/16 (87.5%)	3.43	0.51		2,723	3.51	0.66	2,723	3.51	0.66		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA250 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

13 - The instructor's enthusiasm encouraged me to learn. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.21	3.46	3.46		
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%								
Agree	(3)	9	64.29%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	28.57%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/16 (87.5%)	3.21	0.58		2,718	3.46	0.73	2,718	3.46	0.73		

14 - The instructor showed interest in my academic success. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.29	3.50	3.50		
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%								
Agree	(3)	8	57.14%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	5	35.71%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/16 (87.5%)	3.29	0.61		2,717	3.50	0.69	2,717	3.50	0.69		

15 - The instructor presented him/herself in a positive, professional manner. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.64	3.59	3.59		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	5	35.71%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	9	64.29%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/16 (87.5%)	3.64	0.50		2,705	3.59	0.59	2,705	3.59	0.59		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA250 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

16 - The instructor's use of the textbook supported and reinforced my understanding of the course content.
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		3.00	3.32	3.32	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%								
Agree	(3)	1	7.14%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	1	7.14%								
No Textbook	(0)	11	78.57%								
				0	25	50	75	100			
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/16 (87.5%)	3.00	1.00		2,739	3.32	0.75	2,739	3.32	0.75		

17 - The instructor made course materials available online through Blackboard.
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Yes	(1)	14	100.00%		1.00	1.32	1.32	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
No	(2)	0	0.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100			
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/16 (87.5%)	1.00	0.00		2,717	1.32	0.47	2,717	1.32	0.47		

18 - Overall, the instructor's performance was:
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		3.50	3.48	3.48	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%								
Good	(3)	5	35.71%								
Excellent	(4)	8	57.14%								
				0	25	50	75	100			
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/16 (87.5%)	3.50	0.65		2,742	3.48	0.74	2,742	3.48	0.74		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA250 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

19 - Overall, my experience in this course was:
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Means				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		3.14	3.31	3.31		
Fair	(2)	2	14.29%		Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty		
Good	(3)	8	57.14%						
Excellent	(4)	4	28.57%						
				0 25 50 75 100					
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
14/16 (87.5%)	3.14	0.66		2,736	3.31	0.83	2,736	3.31	0.83

20 - In my opinion, the workload in this course was:
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Means				
Insufficient	(1)	0	0.00%		3.50	3.11	3.11		
Light	(2)	0	0.00%		Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty		
Average	(3)	7	50.00%						
High	(4)	7	50.00%						
				0 25 50 75 100					
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
14/16 (87.5%)	3.50	0.52		2,722	3.11	0.61	2,722	3.11	0.61

21 - In my opinion, the intellectual challenge in this course was:
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Means				
insufficient	(1)	0	0.00%		3.36	3.16	3.16		
Light	(2)	0	0.00%		Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty		
Average	(3)	9	64.29%						
High	(4)	5	35.71%						
				0 25 50 75 100					
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
14/16 (87.5%)	3.36	0.50		2,727	3.16	0.67	2,727	3.16	0.67

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA250 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

22 - My overall GPA to date at PTI is: Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Below 2.00	(1)	0	0.00%				3.07	3.01	3.01		
2.50 - 2.99	(2)	2	14.29%								
3.00 - 3.49	(3)	9	64.29%								
3.50 - 4.00	(4)	3	21.43%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/16 (87.5%)	3.07	0.62		2,709	3.01	0.89	2,709	3.01	0.89		

23 - My probable grade to date in this course is: Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
F	(1)	1	7.14%				3.57	4.14	4.14		
D	(2)	0	0.00%								
C	(3)	4	28.57%								
B	(4)	8	57.14%								
A	(5)	1	7.14%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/16 (87.5%)	3.57	0.94		2,737	4.14	0.98	2,737	4.14	0.98		

24 - Describe aspects of the course that helped you learn. Sarah Davis	
Return Rate	6/16 (37.5%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - going over the content and the specs. - We were allowed to use other things that we learned in previous classes to develop the best presentations possible. She was there to help when needed. - Feedback on projects - demos and student/ professional samples of work - Many different style projects. - _trying out different forms of design and aspects helps grow one's ability to design and create. 	

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA250 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

25 - List suggestions you have to improve the course. Sarah Davis	
Return Rate	6/16 (37.5%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - nothing. - Maybe allow more time - At times it seemed like she gave a lot of work at one time. So i would just say that it would be better if the workload was a little more spread out. - Little more time on projects. - Space the projects out!!! It's like we would have a different project do every class and i just couldn't keep up with it. - Different projects. 	

Mean of Means Calculations	
	Mean
Organization and Classroom Management	3.6
Rapport and Enthusiasm	3.39
Teaching Skill and Content Mastery	3.4