

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-C: July ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA256 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

| 1 - The instructor was prepared for class. Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|------------|-------------------|------|-----------------|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | 3.33 | 3.64 | 3.64 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 7 | 46.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 7 | 46.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Return Rate | | | | Mean | STD | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | | | | 3.33 | 0.82 | 2,745 | 3.64 | 0.60 | 2,745 | 3.64 | 0.60 |

| 2 - The instructor began and ended the class on time. Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|------------|-------------------|------|-----------------|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | 3.40 | 3.64 | 3.64 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 6 | 40.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 8 | 53.33% | | | | | | | | |
| Return Rate | | | | Mean | STD | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | | | | 3.40 | 0.83 | 2,741 | 3.64 | 0.58 | 2,741 | 3.64 | 0.58 |

| 3 - The instructor provided clearly stated goals for each class meeting. Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|------------|-------------------|------|-----------------|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | 3.00 | 3.59 | 3.59 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty | |
| Disagree | (2) | 2 | 13.33% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 8 | 53.33% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 4 | 26.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Return Rate | | | | Mean | STD | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | | | | 3.00 | 0.85 | 2,736 | 3.59 | 0.64 | 2,736 | 3.59 | 0.64 |

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-C: July ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA256 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

| 4 - The instructor maintained classroom discipline. Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 9 | 60.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 4 | 26.67% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 3.07 | 0.80 | | 2,738 | 3.57 | 0.64 | 2,738 | 3.57 | 0.64 | | |

| 5 - The instructor covered course material described in the syllabus. Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 10 | 66.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 4 | 26.67% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 3.13 | 0.74 | | 2,732 | 3.60 | 0.60 | 2,732 | 3.60 | 0.60 | | |

| 6 - The instructor provided timely and thorough feedback appropriate to the assignment. Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 2 | 13.33% | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 3 | 20.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 8 | 53.33% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 2 | 13.33% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.67 | 0.90 | | 2,722 | 3.51 | 0.70 | 2,722 | 3.51 | 0.70 | | |

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-C: July ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA256 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

7 - The instructor presented the course material in an organized and logical sequence.
 Sarah Davis

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 2 | 13.33% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 9 | 60.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 3 | 20.00% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.93 | 0.80 | | 2,724 | 3.53 | 0.69 | 2,724 | 3.53 | 0.69 | | |

8 - The instructor distributed the workload of the course evenly throughout the quarter.
 Sarah Davis

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 2 | 13.33% | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 4 | 26.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 6 | 40.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 3 | 20.00% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.67 | 0.98 | | 2,724 | 3.52 | 0.66 | 2,724 | 3.52 | 0.66 | | |

9 - The instructor guided me to think independently.
 Sarah Davis

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 2 | 13.33% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 9 | 60.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 3 | 20.00% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.93 | 0.80 | | 2,727 | 3.54 | 0.66 | 2,727 | 3.54 | 0.66 | | |

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-C: July ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA256 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

| 10 - The instructor helped me to understand challenging concepts. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|------------|-------------------|------|------|---------------------|------|----------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 4 | 26.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 7 | 46.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 3 | 20.00% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.80 | 0.86 | | 2,714 | 3.50 | 0.71 | 2,714 | 3.50 | 0.71 | | |

| 11 - The instructor related the major topics of the course to my career and/or my life. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|------------|-------------------|------|------|---------------------|------|----------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 4 | 26.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 8 | 53.33% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 2 | 13.33% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.73 | 0.80 | | 2,696 | 3.53 | 0.68 | 2,696 | 3.53 | 0.68 | | |

| 12 - The instructor created an environment which encouraged open discussion of course material. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|------------|-------------------|------|------|---------------------|------|----------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 4 | 26.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 9 | 60.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.67 | 0.72 | | 2,713 | 3.53 | 0.67 | 2,713 | 3.53 | 0.67 | | |

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-C: July ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA256 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

| 13 - The instructor's enthusiasm encouraged me to learn. Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 3 | 20.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 8 | 53.33% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 3 | 20.00% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.87 | 0.83 | | 2,708 | 3.49 | 0.72 | 2,708 | 3.49 | 0.72 | | |

| 14 - The instructor showed interest in my academic success. Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 3 | 20.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 8 | 53.33% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 3 | 20.00% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.87 | 0.83 | | 2,706 | 3.51 | 0.70 | 2,706 | 3.51 | 0.70 | | |

| 15 - The instructor presented him/herself in a positive, professional manner. Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 9 | 60.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 5 | 33.33% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 3.20 | 0.77 | | 2,706 | 3.62 | 0.60 | 2,706 | 3.62 | 0.60 | | |

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-C: July ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA256 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

16 - The instructor's use of the textbook supported and reinforced my understanding of the course content.
 Sarah Davis

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | | 2.33 | 3.36 | 3.36 | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (4) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| No Textbook | (0) | 12 | 80.00% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.33 | 1.53 | | 2,718 | 3.36 | 0.78 | 2,718 | 3.36 | 0.78 | | |

17 - The instructor made course materials available online through Blackboard.
 Sarah Davis

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Yes | (1) | 10 | 66.67% | | | 1.33 | 1.29 | 1.29 | | | |
| No | (2) | 5 | 33.33% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 1.33 | 0.49 | | 2,698 | 1.29 | 0.45 | 2,698 | 1.29 | 0.45 | | |

18 - Overall, the instructor's performance was:
 Sarah Davis

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Poor | (1) | 2 | 13.33% | | | 2.80 | 3.50 | 3.50 | | | |
| Fair | (2) | 4 | 26.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Good | (3) | 4 | 26.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Excellent | (4) | 5 | 33.33% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.80 | 1.08 | | 2,729 | 3.50 | 0.74 | 2,729 | 3.50 | 0.74 | | |

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-C: July ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA256 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

19 - Overall, my experience in this course was:
Sarah Davis

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Poor | (1) | 2 | 13.33% | | | | | | | | |
| Fair | (2) | 6 | 40.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Good | (3) | 3 | 20.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Excellent | (4) | 4 | 26.67% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.60 | 1.06 | | 2,718 | 3.34 | 0.82 | 2,718 | 3.34 | 0.82 | | |

20 - In my opinion, the workload in this course was:
Sarah Davis

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Insufficient | (1) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | | | |
| Light | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Average | (3) | 6 | 40.00% | | | | | | | | |
| High | (4) | 8 | 53.33% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 3.40 | 0.83 | | 2,710 | 3.13 | 0.60 | 2,710 | 3.13 | 0.60 | | |

21 - In my opinion, the intellectual challenge in this course was:
Sarah Davis

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| insufficient | (1) | 1 | 7.14% | | | | | | | | |
| Light | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | | |
| Average | (3) | 9 | 64.29% | | | | | | | | |
| High | (4) | 4 | 28.57% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD | | |
| 14/24 (58.33%) | 3.14 | 0.77 | | 2,713 | 3.22 | 0.65 | 2,713 | 3.22 | 0.65 | | |

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-C: July ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA256 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

| 22 - My overall GPA to date at PTI is: Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | |
| Below 2.00 | (1) | 2 | 13.33% | | | | 2.47 | 2.91 | 2.91 |
| 2.50 - 2.99 | (2) | 5 | 33.33% | | | | | | |
| 3.00 - 3.49 | (3) | 7 | 46.67% | | | | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| 3.50 - 4.00 | (4) | 1 | 6.67% | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.47 | 0.83 | | 2,682 | 2.91 | 0.92 | 2,682 | 2.91 | 0.92 |

| 23 - My probable grade to date in this course is: Sarah Davis | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percentage | Percent Responses | | | Means | | |
| F | (1) | 2 | 13.33% | | | | 2.87 | 4.02 | 4.02 |
| D | (2) | 3 | 20.00% | | | | | | |
| C | (3) | 5 | 33.33% | | | | Question | All PTI Faculty | All Program Faculty |
| B | (4) | 5 | 33.33% | | | | | | |
| A | (5) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | |
| Return Rate | Mean | STD | | All PTI Faculty | Mean | STD | All Program Faculty | Mean | STD |
| 15/24 (62.5%) | 2.87 | 1.06 | | 2,717 | 4.02 | 1.05 | 2,717 | 4.02 | 1.05 |

| 24 - Describe aspects of the course that helped you learn. Sarah Davis | |
|---|---------------|
| Return Rate | 4/24 (16.67%) |
| <p>- I learned that sometimes i hate coming to school, if im a graphic design major and all my classes are associated with design, i should not need a design class</p> <p>- Ms. Davis is a very good teacher, her lectures inspired me to start one designs and creating. Her projects were a good review of design basics and her projects are a good reflection of how things will be in the work place.</p> <p>Please understand that my complaints below are not a reflection of the instructor of the course.</p> <p>- ...</p> <p>- This was a very "open" course. We were expected to think on our own and solve problems in our own way; basically, make every project we do our own. We would still receive help when needed, though, which is really appreciated.</p> | |

Pittsburgh Technical Institute

2012-C: July ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA256 : DESIGN 2

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

| 25 - List suggestions you have to improve the course. | |
|---|------------|
| Sarah Davis | |
| Return Rate | 6/24 (25%) |
| <p>- A suggestion would be, if the teacher would actually help when you raise your hand after she says, "Just to raise your hand if you need help."</p> <p>Another one would be if the teacher didn't talk to a student about anything pertaining to class and would help the students.</p> <p>While we are in a class room, students from the last class, that are in 8th quarter, are taking up computers, and the teacher when its our time for class, not theirs.</p> <p>- ILLIMNATE THE COURSE</p> <p>- Keep other quarter students out of OUR class, it take away from our group and time. they want us to do our best yet we are given no time at all. I am getting extremely frustrated with the little amount of time given for projects. Anymore I just have to rush to get assignments finished. I don't see the point in asking for the teachers opinion, because when they tell me what they think I should change and I do, I am still getting a bad grade when THEY are the one who made the suggestion.</p> <p>- Here's to this actually being read.</p> <p>My one complaint with this class was the work load. Overall it wasn't too much, I understand that being a 4th quarter student means that the curriculum is going to get harder. But it's frustrating that in the beginning of the quarter the work load was moderate and now towards the end everything is being dumped on us students in everyone of our classes. Again I understand that that's the point of finals but it would have been more reasonable to have everything equally balanced.</p> <p>Another issue that arose was the amount of work that needs to be done outside of class. It's expected to have work outside of class but the majority of the workload is a little ridiculous. This school pushes students to maintain a job to a fault and still expects us to get good grades. So now after all of the pressure to get a job I am working at almost a full time status while still being a full time student and my grades are reflecting this. This isn't an issue of time management, this is an issue of an over baring work load and restricted resources. I'm without a computer at home and being a student that relies on the schools computers it's extremely frustrating that students are kicked out of the lab at only 10pm. If you know anything about college students the majority of us are used to staying away late and functioning at night. So if I had the means to work into the night I'm sure that my grades wouldn't look like they do. And I know that I'm not the only student who has this concern. As an educational institution, if you have students who are willing to come in and work you should provide the resources.</p> <p>- ...</p> <p>- N/A</p> | |

| Mean of Means Calculations | |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| | Mean |
| Organization and Classroom Management | 3.08 |
| Rapport and Enthusiasm | 2.9 |
| Teaching Skill and Content Mastery | 2.69 |