


Pittsburgh Technical Institute

2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3703

Instructor: Sarah Davis *



1 - The instructor was prepared for class.
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	7	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	7	50.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.50	0.52		3,369	3.58	0.62	3,369	3.58	0.62		

2 - The instructor began and ended the class on time.
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	6	42.86%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	8	57.14%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.57	0.51		3,362	3.58	0.61	3,362	3.58	0.61		

3 - The instructor provided clearly stated goals for each class meeting.
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	7.14%								
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%								
Agree	(3)	8	57.14%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	28.57%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.07	0.83		3,357	3.54	0.65	3,357	3.54	0.65		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3703

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

4 - The instructor maintained classroom discipline.
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.71	3.52	3.52			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	4	28.57%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	10	71.43%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.71	0.47		3,352	3.52	0.63	3,352	3.52	0.63		

5 - The instructor covered course material described in the syllabus.
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.43	3.55	3.55			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	8	57.14%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	42.86%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.43	0.51		3,338	3.55	0.60	3,338	3.55	0.60		

6 - The instructor provided timely and thorough feedback appropriate to the assignment.
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	7.14%			3.29	3.46	3.46			
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%								
Agree	(3)	5	35.71%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	7	50.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.29	0.91		3,323	3.46	0.71	3,323	3.46	0.71		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3703

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

7 - The instructor presented the course material in an organized and logical sequence.
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				2.92	3.49	3.49		
Disagree	(2)	3	23.08%								
Agree	(3)	8	61.54%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	2	15.38%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
13/17 (76.47%)	2.92	0.64		3,329	3.49	0.68	3,329	3.49	0.68		

8 - The instructor distributed the workload of the course evenly throughout the quarter.
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	7.14%				2.86	3.46	3.46		
Disagree	(2)	3	21.43%								
Agree	(3)	7	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	3	21.43%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	2.86	0.86		3,342	3.46	0.68	3,342	3.46	0.68		

9 - The instructor guided me to think independently.
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.43	3.48	3.48		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	8	57.14%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	42.86%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.43	0.51		3,334	3.48	0.66	3,334	3.48	0.66		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3703

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

10 - The instructor helped me to understand challenging concepts.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.43	3.44	3.44			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	8	57.14%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	42.86%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.43	0.51		3,329	3.44	0.70	3,329	3.44	0.70		

11 - The instructor related the major topics of the course to my career and/or my life.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.36	3.50	3.50			
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%								
Agree	(3)	7	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	42.86%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.36	0.63		3,320	3.50	0.67	3,320	3.50	0.67		

12 - The instructor created an environment which encouraged open discussion of course material.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.43	3.47	3.47			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	8	57.14%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	42.86%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.43	0.51		3,327	3.47	0.68	3,327	3.47	0.68		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3703

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

13 - The instructor's enthusiasm encouraged me to learn. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.43	3.44	3.44			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	8	57.14%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	42.86%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.43	0.51		3,318	3.44	0.74	3,318	3.44	0.74		

14 - The instructor showed interest in my academic success. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	7.14%			3.29	3.46	3.46			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	7	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	42.86%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.29	0.83		3,312	3.46	0.71	3,312	3.46	0.71		

15 - The instructor presented him/herself in a positive, professional manner. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.36	3.56	3.56			
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%								
Agree	(3)	7	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	42.86%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.36	0.63		3,286	3.56	0.63	3,286	3.56	0.63		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3703

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

16 - The instructor's use of the textbook supported and reinforced my understanding of the course content.
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		2.50	3.31	3.31	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Disagree	(2)	1	7.69%								
Agree	(3)	1	7.69%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	0	0.00%								
No Textbook	(0)	11	84.62%								
				0	25	50	75	100			
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
13/17 (76.47%)	2.50	0.71		3,329	3.31	0.77	3,329	3.31	0.77		

17 - The instructor made course materials available online through Blackboard.
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Yes	(1)	14	100.00%		1.00	1.29	1.29	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
No	(2)	0	0.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100			
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	1.00	0.00		3,305	1.29	0.45	3,305	1.29	0.45		

18 - Overall, the instructor's performance was:
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		3.21	3.48	3.48	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Fair	(2)	2	14.29%								
Good	(3)	7	50.00%								
Excellent	(4)	5	35.71%								
				0	25	50	75	100			
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.21	0.70		3,342	3.48	0.73	3,342	3.48	0.73		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3703

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

19 - Overall, my experience in this course was:
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				3.00	3.31	3.31		
Fair	(2)	5	35.71%								
Good	(3)	4	28.57%								
Excellent	(4)	5	35.71%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.00	0.88		3,332	3.31	0.80	3,332	3.31	0.80		

20 - In my opinion, the workload in this course was:
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Insufficient	(1)	0	0.00%				3.86	3.12	3.12		
Light	(2)	0	0.00%								
Average	(3)	2	14.29%								
High	(4)	12	85.71%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.86	0.36		3,324	3.12	0.60	3,324	3.12	0.60		

21 - In my opinion, the intellectual challenge in this course was:
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
insufficient	(1)	0	0.00%				3.79	3.16	3.16		
Light	(2)	0	0.00%								
Average	(3)	3	21.43%								
High	(4)	11	78.57%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.79	0.43		3,328	3.16	0.66	3,328	3.16	0.66		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3703

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

22 - My overall GPA to date at PTI is: Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Below 2.00	(1)	0	0.00%				3.00	2.92	2.92		
2.50 - 2.99	(2)	4	28.57%								
3.00 - 3.49	(3)	6	42.86%								
3.50 - 4.00	(4)	4	28.57%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.00	0.78		3,281	2.92	0.88	3,281	2.92	0.88		

23 - My probable grade to date in this course is: Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
F	(1)	0	0.00%				3.64	4.06	4.06		
D	(2)	1	7.14%								
C	(3)	5	35.71%								
B	(4)	6	42.86%								
A	(5)	2	14.29%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/17 (82.35%)	3.64	0.84		3,331	4.06	0.99	3,331	4.06	0.99		

24 - Describe aspects of the course that helped you learn. Sarah Davis	
Return Rate	5/17 (29.41%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mrs. Davis is one of the best teachers I have had when it comes to thorough feedback and always helping the best she can. - This course can be very challenging at times, but it really teaches a lot. Overall, I think it was very useful. - I appreciated Ms. Davis helping us with everything, but there are a lot of students in our class that don't get to see the teacher at all during class. A class like this should have 2 teachers. - Ms. Davis is always extremely helpful with questions. - The aspect that helped me learn was becoming a better designer laying out photos and learning more about Photoshop and making business cards. 	

25 - List suggestions you have to improve the course. Sarah Davis	
Return Rate	4/17 (23.53%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - nothing really, just wish that the course materials and what was due BEFORE they were due. - There should be another teacher or at least a lab assistant in the class. Our class becomes overwhelmed with questions on a daily basis, though one teacher does not have the means to answer all of our questions and we leave more confused than when we came in. Also, the specs of the projects and the time frame in which we have to do them need to be more clearly stated instead of assumed. - Some of the work load was high make some time to work on projects. - maybe if the instructor spent more time one on one with the student if they really need the help. from my point of view i was rarely helped. 	

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3703

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

Mean of Means Calculations	
	Mean
Organization and Classroom Management	3.29
Rapport and Enthusiasm	3.38
Teaching Skill and Content Mastery	3.2