


# Pittsburgh Technical Institute

## 2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3704

Instructor: Sarah Davis \*



1 - The instructor was prepared for class.  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.50	3.58	3.58				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%									
Agree	(3)	6	50.00%									
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	50.00%									
<b>Return Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>
12/16 (75%)				3.50	0.52		3,369	3.58	0.62	3,369	3.58	0.62

2 - The instructor began and ended the class on time.  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.58	3.58	3.58				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%									
Agree	(3)	5	41.67%									
Strongly Agree	(4)	7	58.33%									
<b>Return Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>
12/16 (75%)				3.58	0.51		3,362	3.58	0.61	3,362	3.58	0.61

3 - The instructor provided clearly stated goals for each class meeting.  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	3	25.00%			2.83	3.54	3.54				
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%									
Agree	(3)	3	25.00%									
Strongly Agree	(4)	5	41.67%									
<b>Return Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>
12/16 (75%)				2.83	1.27		3,357	3.54	0.65	3,357	3.54	0.65

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3704

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

**4 - The instructor maintained classroom discipline.**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	5	41.67%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	7	58.33%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.58	0.51		3,352	3.52	0.63	3,352	3.52	0.63		

**5 - The instructor covered course material described in the syllabus.**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	6	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	50.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.50	0.52		3,338	3.55	0.60	3,338	3.55	0.60		

**6 - The instructor provided timely and thorough feedback appropriate to the assignment.**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	8.33%								
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Agree	(3)	7	58.33%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	3	25.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.00	0.85		3,323	3.46	0.71	3,323	3.46	0.71		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3704

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

**7 - The instructor presented the course material in an organized and logical sequence.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
Disagree	(2)	1	9.09%								
Agree	(3)	6	54.55%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	36.36%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
11/16 (68.75%)	3.27	0.65		3,329	3.49	0.68	3,329	3.49	0.68		

**8 - The instructor distributed the workload of the course evenly throughout the quarter.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	18.18%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	5	45.45%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	36.36%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
11/16 (68.75%)	3.00	1.10		3,342	3.46	0.68	3,342	3.46	0.68		

**9 - The instructor guided me to think independently.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	7	58.33%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	5	41.67%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.42	0.51		3,334	3.48	0.66	3,334	3.48	0.66		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3704

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

10 - The instructor helped me to understand challenging concepts.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.33	3.44	3.44			
Disagree	(2)	2	16.67%								
Agree	(3)	4	33.33%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	50.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.33	0.78		3,329	3.44	0.70	3,329	3.44	0.70		

11 - The instructor related the major topics of the course to my career and/or my life.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.25	3.50	3.50			
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Agree	(3)	7	58.33%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	33.33%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.25	0.62		3,320	3.50	0.67	3,320	3.50	0.67		

12 - The instructor created an environment which encouraged open discussion of course material.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.08	3.47	3.47			
Disagree	(2)	3	25.00%								
Agree	(3)	5	41.67%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	33.33%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.08	0.79		3,327	3.47	0.68	3,327	3.47	0.68		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3704

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

13 - The instructor's enthusiasm encouraged me to learn. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	9.09%				3.00	3.44	3.44		
Disagree	(2)	2	18.18%								
Agree	(3)	4	36.36%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	36.36%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
11/16 (68.75%)	3.00	1.00		3,318	3.44	0.74	3,318	3.44	0.74		

14 - The instructor showed interest in my academic success. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	8.33%				3.17	3.46	3.46		
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Agree	(3)	5	41.67%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	5	41.67%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.17	0.94		3,312	3.46	0.71	3,312	3.46	0.71		

15 - The instructor presented him/herself in a positive, professional manner. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.50	3.56	3.56		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	6	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	50.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.50	0.52		3,286	3.56	0.63	3,286	3.56	0.63		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3704

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

**16 - The instructor's use of the textbook supported and reinforced my understanding of the course content.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		3.00	3.31	3.31	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Disagree	(2)	1	9.09%								
Agree	(3)	1	9.09%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	1	9.09%								
No Textbook	(0)	8	72.73%								
				0	25	50	75	100			
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
11/16 (68.75%)	3.00	1.00		3,329	3.31	0.77	3,329	3.31	0.77		

**17 - The instructor made course materials available online through Blackboard.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Yes	(1)	11	91.67%		1.08	1.29	1.29	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
No	(2)	1	8.33%								
				0	25	50	75	100			
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	1.08	0.29		3,305	1.29	0.45	3,305	1.29	0.45		

**18 - Overall, the instructor's performance was:**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Poor	(1)	2	16.67%		3.00	3.48	3.48	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Fair	(2)	2	16.67%								
Good	(3)	2	16.67%								
Excellent	(4)	6	50.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100			
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.00	1.21		3,342	3.48	0.73	3,342	3.48	0.73		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3704

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

**19 - Overall, my experience in this course was:**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Poor	(1)	2	16.67%				3.00	3.31	3.31		
Fair	(2)	2	16.67%								
Good	(3)	2	16.67%								
Excellent	(4)	6	50.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.00	1.21		3,332	3.31	0.80	3,332	3.31	0.80		

**20 - In my opinion, the workload in this course was:**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Insufficient	(1)	0	0.00%				3.50	3.12	3.12		
Light	(2)	0	0.00%								
Average	(3)	6	50.00%								
High	(4)	6	50.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.50	0.52		3,324	3.12	0.60	3,324	3.12	0.60		

**21 - In my opinion, the intellectual challenge in this course was:**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
insufficient	(1)	0	0.00%				3.25	3.16	3.16		
Light	(2)	0	0.00%								
Average	(3)	9	75.00%								
High	(4)	3	25.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.25	0.45		3,328	3.16	0.66	3,328	3.16	0.66		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3704

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

22 - My overall GPA to date at PTI is: Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Below 2.00	(1)	0	0.00%				3.00	2.92	2.92		
2.50 - 2.99	(2)	2	16.67%								
3.00 - 3.49	(3)	8	66.67%								
3.50 - 4.00	(4)	2	16.67%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.00	0.60		3,281	2.92	0.88	3,281	2.92	0.88		

23 - My probable grade to date in this course is: Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
F	(1)	0	0.00%				3.83	4.06	4.06		
D	(2)	0	0.00%								
C	(3)	4	33.33%								
B	(4)	6	50.00%								
A	(5)	2	16.67%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/16 (75%)	3.83	0.72		3,331	4.06	0.99	3,331	4.06	0.99		

24 - Describe aspects of the course that helped you learn. Sarah Davis	
<b>Return Rate</b>	2/16 (12.5%)
<p>- The class is very important because of all the concepts that are at a need to know level. Very beneficial to the work field.</p> <p>- The instructor of this course really pushes you to go to the next level, it helps me improve as a designer and I appreciate it.</p>	



**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA265 : DESIGN 3 : GDA265-3704

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

25 - List suggestions you have to improve the course.	
Sarah Davis	
Return Rate	5/16 (31.25%)
<p>- -More clear directions            -More time to work on projects            -Slow down and explain better</p> <p>- Unfortunately i felt the instructor gave a lot of miscommunications to students on projects and project deadlines, a lot of misunderstanding situations that could have been brought to attention earlier in a class but wasn't brought to attention until the last 5 minutes of class. Needs to be more clear on what she is looking for within the project goals.</p> <p>- Giving more time to allow people to actually think creatively rather than thinking more on when it is due and getting it in on time. also clearly stating goals would be a big improvement.</p> <p>- none</p> <p>- Communication was an issue in the class from day one. The deadlines on projects were miss communicated in a few situations. Also, the specs sheet did not go along with what she was looking for in the project. The spec sheet is the paper that students go by to produce the work, with missing or wrong information on the spec sheet it causes a lot of confusion. There were a few instances in which this happened and my other classes suffered from it, due to having to turn in a project that needed fixed because she could not follow her own spec sheet. The workload was also ridiculous for the amount of work that we have for other classes. Design 3 and Steps 3 should be combined since the work is essentially the same for both courses. Two separate courses cause a lot of over lap and wasted time, in my opinion. Ample time is not given to complete projects, especially when you cannot rely on the spec sheet to be accurate.</p>	

Mean of Means Calculations	
	Mean
Organization and Classroom Management	3.32
Rapport and Enthusiasm	3.19
Teaching Skill and Content Mastery	3.2