

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

1 - The instructor was prepared for class. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		3.17	3.58	3.58	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	10	83.33%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	2	16.67%								
Return Rate				Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
12/17 (70.59%)				3.17	0.39	2,768	3.58	0.61	2,768	3.58	0.61

2 - The instructor began and ended the class on time. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		3.42	3.59	3.59	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	7	58.33%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	5	41.67%								
Return Rate				Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
12/17 (70.59%)				3.42	0.51	2,762	3.59	0.61	2,762	3.59	0.61

3 - The instructor provided clearly stated goals for each class meeting. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	16.67%		2.92	3.56	3.56	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Agree	(3)	5	41.67%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	33.33%								
Return Rate				Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
12/17 (70.59%)				2.92	1.08	2,748	3.56	0.65	2,748	3.56	0.65

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

4 - The instructor maintained classroom discipline. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.33	3.54	3.54		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	8	66.67%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	33.33%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.33	0.49		2,750	3.54	0.63	2,750	3.54	0.63		

5 - The instructor covered course material described in the syllabus. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	8.33%				3.08	3.55	3.55		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	8	66.67%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	3	25.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.08	0.79		2,740	3.55	0.61	2,740	3.55	0.61		

6 - The instructor provided timely and thorough feedback appropriate to the assignment. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	8.33%				2.92	3.47	3.47		
Disagree	(2)	2	16.67%								
Agree	(3)	6	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	3	25.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	2.92	0.90		2,724	3.47	0.71	2,724	3.47	0.71		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

**7 - The instructor presented the course material in an organized and logical sequence.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	16.67%				2.83	3.49	3.49		
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Agree	(3)	6	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	3	25.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	2.83	1.03		2,729	3.49	0.68	2,729	3.49	0.68		

**8 - The instructor distributed the workload of the course evenly throughout the quarter.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	3	25.00%				2.42	3.45	3.45		
Disagree	(2)	3	25.00%								
Agree	(3)	4	33.33%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	2	16.67%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	2.42	1.08		2,742	3.45	0.70	2,742	3.45	0.70		

**9 - The instructor guided me to think independently.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.17	3.50	3.50		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	10	83.33%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	2	16.67%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.17	0.39		2,724	3.50	0.67	2,724	3.50	0.67		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

10 - The instructor helped me to understand challenging concepts.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.17				
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Agree	(3)	8	66.67%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	3	25.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.17	0.58		2,718	3.46	0.71	2,718	3.46	0.71		

11 - The instructor related the major topics of the course to my career and/or my life.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	8.33%				3.25				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	6	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	5	41.67%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.25	0.87		2,731	3.50	0.69	2,731	3.50	0.69		

12 - The instructor created an environment which encouraged open discussion of course material.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.17				
Disagree	(2)	2	16.67%								
Agree	(3)	6	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	33.33%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.17	0.72		2,723	3.51	0.66	2,723	3.51	0.66		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

13 - The instructor's enthusiasm encouraged me to learn. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.25	3.46	3.46		
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Agree	(3)	7	58.33%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	33.33%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.25	0.62		2,718	3.46	0.73	2,718	3.46	0.73		

14 - The instructor showed interest in my academic success. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.33	3.50	3.50		
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Agree	(3)	6	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	5	41.67%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.33	0.65		2,717	3.50	0.69	2,717	3.50	0.69		

15 - The instructor presented him/herself in a positive, professional manner. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.50	3.59	3.59		
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Agree	(3)	4	33.33%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	7	58.33%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.50	0.67		2,705	3.59	0.59	2,705	3.59	0.59		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

**16 - The instructor's use of the textbook supported and reinforced my understanding of the course content.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	8.33%					2.73	3.32	3.32	
Disagree	(2)	2	16.67%								
Agree	(3)	7	58.33%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	1	8.33%								
No Textbook	(0)	1	8.33%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	2.73	0.79		2,739	3.32	0.75	2,739	3.32	0.75		

**17 - The instructor made course materials available online through Blackboard.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Yes	(1)	10	90.91%					1.09	1.32	1.32	
No	(2)	1	9.09%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
11/17 (64.71%)	1.09	0.30		2,717	1.32	0.47	2,717	1.32	0.47		

**18 - Overall, the instructor's performance was:**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Poor	(1)	1	8.33%					3.17	3.48	3.48	
Fair	(2)	1	8.33%								
Good	(3)	5	41.67%								
Excellent	(4)	5	41.67%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.17	0.94		2,742	3.48	0.74	2,742	3.48	0.74		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

**19 - Overall, my experience in this course was:**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Poor	(1)	2	16.67%								
Fair	(2)	3	25.00%								
Good	(3)	5	41.67%								
Excellent	(4)	2	16.67%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	2.58	1.00		2,736	3.31	0.83	2,736	3.31	0.83		

**20 - In my opinion, the workload in this course was:**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Insufficient	(1)	0	0.00%								
Light	(2)	0	0.00%								
Average	(3)	4	33.33%								
High	(4)	8	66.67%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.67	0.49		2,722	3.11	0.61	2,722	3.11	0.61		

**21 - In my opinion, the intellectual challenge in this course was:**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
insufficient	(1)	0	0.00%								
Light	(2)	0	0.00%								
Average	(3)	6	50.00%								
High	(4)	6	50.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.50	0.52		2,727	3.16	0.67	2,727	3.16	0.67		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

22 - My overall GPA to date at PTI is: Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Below 2.00	(1)	0	0.00%				3.00	3.01	3.01		
2.50 - 2.99	(2)	3	25.00%								
3.00 - 3.49	(3)	6	50.00%								
3.50 - 4.00	(4)	3	25.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.00	0.74		2,709	3.01	0.89	2,709	3.01	0.89		

23 - My probable grade to date in this course is: Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
F	(1)	0	0.00%				3.50	4.14	4.14		
D	(2)	1	8.33%								
C	(3)	6	50.00%								
B	(4)	3	25.00%								
A	(5)	2	16.67%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
12/17 (70.59%)	3.50	0.90		2,737	4.14	0.98	2,737	4.14	0.98		

24 - Describe aspects of the course that helped you learn. Sarah Davis	
<b>Return Rate</b>	2/17 (11.76%)
<p>- Very tight deadlines, high standards for projects.</p> <p>- none</p>	



**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-B: April ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

25 - List suggestions you have to improve the course.	
Sarah Davis	
Return Rate	7/17 (41.18%)
<p>- Make the deadlines more fluid.</p> <p>- Deadlines need to be followed. A lot of changes caused confusion. The workload was distributed far from equal throughout the quarter.</p> <p>- none</p> <p>- Be more aware of time.</p> <p>- none</p> <p>- I think this class is under-staffed with as many students there are in it, the teacher doesn't have enough time to give the attention each student needs. Adding a web teacher to this class would be a huge help, many students needed web help but had to seek it on their own time. I also think that all of the time we spent researching information on finished designs for our portfolio pieces could have been completely avoided. For example if we had to save all of this research information when the project was initially started(quarter 4, 5,6), then we could have spent more time in portfolio class focusing on our portfolios and websites.</p> <p>- By having less of a focus on the irrelevant research and more on the revisions and the actual work at hand. Also by having another instructor occasionally in the classroom to help with the web work and other questions students would have. This would give myself and others more motivation and time to work on polishing our work and our portfolio pieces rather than doing irrelevant work towards submitting it.</p>	

Mean of Means Calculations	
	Mean
Organization and Classroom Management	3.02
Rapport and Enthusiasm	3.31
Teaching Skill and Content Mastery	3.05