


# Pittsburgh Technical Institute

## 2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1 : GDA271-3705

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*



**1 - The instructor was prepared for class.**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		3.36	3.58	3.58	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%								
Agree	(3)	7	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	42.86%								
<b>Return Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>
14/23 (60.87%)				3.36	0.63	3,369	3.58	0.62	3,369	3.58	0.62

**2 - The instructor began and ended the class on time.**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		3.50	3.58	3.58	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	7	50.00%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	7	50.00%								
<b>Return Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>
14/23 (60.87%)				3.50	0.52	3,362	3.58	0.61	3,362	3.58	0.61

**3 - The instructor provided clearly stated goals for each class meeting.**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	14.29%		2.86	3.54	3.54	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Disagree	(2)	2	14.29%								
Agree	(3)	6	42.86%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	28.57%								
<b>Return Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>
14/23 (60.87%)				2.86	1.03	3,357	3.54	0.65	3,357	3.54	0.65

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1 : GDA271-3705

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

**4 - The instructor maintained classroom discipline.**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.29	3.52	3.52			
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%								
Agree	(3)	8	57.14%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	5	35.71%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
14/23 (60.87%)	3.29	0.61		3,352	3.52	0.63	3,352	3.52	0.63		

**5 - The instructor covered course material described in the syllabus.**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.29	3.55	3.55			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Agree	(3)	10	71.43%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	28.57%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
14/23 (60.87%)	3.29	0.47		3,338	3.55	0.60	3,338	3.55	0.60		

**6 - The instructor provided timely and thorough feedback appropriate to the assignment.**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	15.38%			2.92	3.46	3.46			
Disagree	(2)	1	7.69%								
Agree	(3)	6	46.15%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	30.77%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
13/23 (56.52%)	2.92	1.04		3,323	3.46	0.71	3,323	3.46	0.71		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1 : GDA271-3705

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

**7 - The instructor presented the course material in an organized and logical sequence.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	14.29%				2.79				
Disagree	(2)	3	21.43%				3.49				
Agree	(3)	5	35.71%				3.49				
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	28.57%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
14/23 (60.87%)	2.79	1.05		3,329	3.49	0.68	3,329	3.49	0.68		

**8 - The instructor distributed the workload of the course evenly throughout the quarter.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	14.29%				2.57				
Disagree	(2)	5	35.71%				3.46				
Agree	(3)	4	28.57%				3.46				
Strongly Agree	(4)	3	21.43%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
14/23 (60.87%)	2.57	1.02		3,342	3.46	0.68	3,342	3.46	0.68		

**9 - The instructor guided me to think independently.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	15.38%				3.00				
Disagree	(2)	1	7.69%				3.48				
Agree	(3)	5	38.46%				3.48				
Strongly Agree	(4)	5	38.46%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
13/23 (56.52%)	3.00	1.08		3,334	3.48	0.66	3,334	3.48	0.66		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1 : GDA271-3705

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

10 - The instructor helped me to understand challenging concepts.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	14.29%								
Disagree	(2)	2	14.29%								
Agree	(3)	6	42.86%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	28.57%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/23 (60.87%)	2.86	1.03		3,329	3.44	0.70	3,329	3.44	0.70		

11 - The instructor related the major topics of the course to my career and/or my life.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%								
Agree	(3)	6	42.86%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	7	50.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/23 (60.87%)	3.43	0.65		3,320	3.50	0.67	3,320	3.50	0.67		

12 - The instructor created an environment which encouraged open discussion of course material.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	14.29%								
Disagree	(2)	2	14.29%								
Agree	(3)	6	42.86%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	28.57%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/23 (60.87%)	2.86	1.03		3,327	3.47	0.68	3,327	3.47	0.68		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1 : GDA271-3705

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

13 - The instructor's enthusiasm encouraged me to learn. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	14.29%				2.86				
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%				3.44				
Agree	(3)	8	57.14%				3.44				
Strongly Agree	(4)	3	21.43%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
14/23 (60.87%)		2.86	0.95	3,318			3.44	0.74	3,318	3.44	0.74

14 - The instructor showed interest in my academic success. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	14.29%				2.93				
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%				3.46				
Agree	(3)	7	50.00%				3.46				
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	28.57%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
14/23 (60.87%)		2.93	1.00	3,312			3.46	0.71	3,312	3.46	0.71

15 - The instructor presented him/herself in a positive, professional manner. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	7.14%				3.21				
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%				3.56				
Agree	(3)	6	42.86%				3.56				
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	42.86%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
14/23 (60.87%)		3.21	0.89	3,286			3.56	0.63	3,286	3.56	0.63

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1 : GDA271-3705

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

**16 - The instructor's use of the textbook supported and reinforced my understanding of the course content.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	7.14%								
Disagree	(2)	5	35.71%								
Agree	(3)	8	57.14%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	0	0.00%								
No Textbook	(0)	0	0.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
14/23 (60.87%)	2.50	0.65		3,329	3.31	0.77	3,329	3.31	0.77		

**17 - The instructor made course materials available online through Blackboard.**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Yes	(1)	12	85.71%								
No	(2)	2	14.29%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
14/23 (60.87%)	1.14	0.36		3,305	1.29	0.45	3,305	1.29	0.45		

**18 - Overall, the instructor's performance was:**  
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Poor	(1)	2	14.29%								
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%								
Good	(3)	7	50.00%								
Excellent	(4)	4	28.57%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>All PTI Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		
14/23 (60.87%)	2.93	1.00		3,342	3.48	0.73	3,342	3.48	0.73		

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1 : GDA271-3705

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

**19 - Overall, my experience in this course was:**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means					
Poor	(1)	3	21.43%									
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%									
Good	(3)	8	57.14%									
Excellent	(4)	2	14.29%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
<b>Return Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All PTI Faculty</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>
14/23 (60.87%)		2.64	1.01	3,332			3.31	0.80	3,332		3.31	0.80

**20 - In my opinion, the workload in this course was:**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means					
Insufficient	(1)	0	0.00%									
Light	(2)	0	0.00%									
Average	(3)	2	14.29%									
High	(4)	12	85.71%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
<b>Return Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All PTI Faculty</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>
14/23 (60.87%)		3.86	0.36	3,324			3.12	0.60	3,324		3.12	0.60

**21 - In my opinion, the intellectual challenge in this course was:**  
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means					
insufficient	(1)	1	7.14%									
Light	(2)	0	0.00%									
Average	(3)	8	57.14%									
High	(4)	5	35.71%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
<b>Return Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All PTI Faculty</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>All Program Faculty</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>
14/23 (60.87%)		3.21	0.80	3,328			3.16	0.66	3,328		3.16	0.66

**Pittsburgh Technical Institute**  
**2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation**

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1 : GDA271-3705

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

22 - My overall GPA to date at PTI is: Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Below 2.00	(1)	1	7.14%				2.50	2.92	2.92		
2.50 - 2.99	(2)	7	50.00%								
3.00 - 3.49	(3)	4	28.57%								
3.50 - 4.00	(4)	2	14.29%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/23 (60.87%)	2.50	0.85		3,281	2.92	0.88	3,281	2.92	0.88		

23 - My probable grade to date in this course is: Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
F	(1)	1	7.14%				2.93	4.06	4.06		
D	(2)	4	28.57%								
C	(3)	4	28.57%								
B	(4)	5	35.71%								
A	(5)	0	0.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
14/23 (60.87%)	2.93	1.00		3,331	4.06	0.99	3,331	4.06	0.99		

24 - Describe aspects of the course that helped you learn. Sarah Davis	
Return Rate	2/23 (8.7%)
<p>- Ms. Davis is always willing to help if you ask.</p> <p>- With the tight deadlines, I learned that I have to be quicker and more efficient. Mrs. Davis was also very accommodating when I needed help. We have put a lot of time into helping me out.</p>	



# Pittsburgh Technical Institute

## 2012-A: January ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

**Course:** GDA271 : PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT 1 : GDA271-3705

**Instructor:** Sarah Davis \*

25 - List suggestions you have to improve the course. Sarah Davis	
Return Rate	7/23 (30.43%)
<p>- think</p> <p>- Have more instructors in the lab to help more students that need it. Ms. Davis did what she could but more help was needed for the quantity of students in the class. Instructors with experience in other things like web would also be helpful.</p> <p>- The deadlines were very hard to reach and sometimes we would be given a few days to do a lot of work and a week to do a little work. Overall it was very hard to make improvements on pieces. I feel as if the class should be set up where every three weeks a piece is due. Thats it. Just three solid weeks of working. Rather then trying to submit small portions everyday.</p> <p>- I think we should have this class before the 7th quarter.</p> <p>- Not have deadlines that are outrageous for students to achieve. Stop spending so much time on one student and not talking to others about their work. Then others have no feedback at all and cant improve their portfolio because she spends to much time with one student.</p> <p>- The deadlines were really tight, but I understand that's how it is going to be in the real world. There were a few assignments that seemed to pop up out of nowhere, and that was a little annoying but again, that's how it probably is outside of school.</p> <p>- Too much work with not enough time..</p>	

Mean of Means Calculations	
	Mean
Organization and Classroom Management	3.09
Rapport and Enthusiasm	2.96
Teaching Skill and Content Mastery	2.94