

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2011-D: October ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA121 : TYPOGRAPHY 1 : GDA121-3583

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

1 - The instructor was prepared for class. Sarah Davis													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
Disagree	(2)	1	5.88%										
Agree	(3)	6	35.29%										
Strongly Agree	(4)	10	58.82%										
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty		
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty			Mean	STD
17/27 (62.96%)		3.53	0.62	3,413			3.58	0.59	3,413			3.58	0.59

2 - The instructor began and ended the class on time. Sarah Davis													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
Disagree	(2)	1	5.88%										
Agree	(3)	6	35.29%										
Strongly Agree	(4)	10	58.82%										
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty		
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty			Mean	STD
17/27 (62.96%)		3.53	0.62	3,398			3.57	0.59	3,398			3.57	0.59

3 - The instructor provided clearly stated goals for each class meeting. Sarah Davis													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.88%										
Disagree	(2)	1	5.88%										
Agree	(3)	5	29.41%										
Strongly Agree	(4)	10	58.82%										
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty		
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty			Mean	STD
17/27 (62.96%)		3.41	0.87	3,376			3.53	0.63	3,376			3.53	0.63

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2011-D: October ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA121 : TYPOGRAPHY 1 : GDA121-3583

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

4 - The instructor maintained classroom discipline.
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.59	3.51	3.51			
Disagree	(2)	1	5.88%								
Agree	(3)	5	29.41%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	11	64.71%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
17/27 (62.96%)	3.59	0.62		3,397	3.51	0.62	3,397	3.51	0.62		

5 - The instructor covered course material described in the syllabus.
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			3.47	3.55	3.55			
Disagree	(2)	1	5.88%								
Agree	(3)	7	41.18%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	9	52.94%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
17/27 (62.96%)	3.47	0.62		3,377	3.55	0.59	3,377	3.55	0.59		

6 - The instructor provided timely and thorough feedback appropriate to the assignment.
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.88%			3.24	3.45	3.45			
Disagree	(2)	2	11.76%								
Agree	(3)	6	35.29%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	8	47.06%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
17/27 (62.96%)	3.24	0.90		3,373	3.45	0.70	3,373	3.45	0.70		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2011-D: October ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA121 : TYPOGRAPHY 1 : GDA121-3583

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

7 - The instructor presented the course material in an organized and logical sequence. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.24	3.48	3.48		
Disagree	(2)	2	11.76%								
Agree	(3)	9	52.94%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	6	35.29%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
17/27 (62.96%)		3.24	0.66	3,348			3.48	0.66	3,348	3.48	0.66

8 - The instructor distributed the workload of the course evenly throughout the quarter. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	12.50%				2.81	3.44	3.44		
Disagree	(2)	3	18.75%								
Agree	(3)	7	43.75%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	25.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
16/27 (59.26%)		2.81	0.98	3,379			3.44	0.68	3,379	3.44	0.68

9 - The instructor guided me to think independently. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.38	3.47	3.47		
Disagree	(2)	2	12.50%								
Agree	(3)	6	37.50%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	8	50.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
16/27 (59.26%)		3.38	0.72	3,372			3.47	0.67	3,372	3.47	0.67

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2011-D: October ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA121 : TYPOGRAPHY 1 : GDA121-3583

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

10 - The instructor helped me to understand challenging concepts.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				3.12	3.42	3.42		
Disagree	(2)	2	12.50%								
Agree	(3)	10	62.50%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	25.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
16/27 (59.26%)	3.12	0.62		3,355	3.42	0.72	3,355	3.42	0.72		

11 - The instructor related the major topics of the course to my career and/or my life.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.88%				3.12	3.46	3.46		
Disagree	(2)	1	5.88%								
Agree	(3)	10	58.82%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	5	29.41%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
17/27 (62.96%)	3.12	0.78		3,369	3.46	0.69	3,369	3.46	0.69		

12 - The instructor created an environment which encouraged open discussion of course material.											
Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	12.50%				2.94	3.46	3.46		
Disagree	(2)	1	6.25%								
Agree	(3)	9	56.25%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	25.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
16/27 (59.26%)	2.94	0.93		3,370	3.46	0.68	3,370	3.46	0.68		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2011-D: October ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA121 : TYPOGRAPHY 1 : GDA121-3583

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

13 - The instructor's enthusiasm encouraged me to learn. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.88%								
Disagree	(2)	2	11.76%								
Agree	(3)	9	52.94%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	5	29.41%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
17/27 (62.96%)	3.06	0.83		3,362	3.41	0.75	3,362	3.41	0.75		

14 - The instructor showed interest in my academic success. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	11.76%								
Disagree	(2)	1	5.88%								
Agree	(3)	10	58.82%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	4	23.53%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
17/27 (62.96%)	2.94	0.90		3,360	3.46	0.71	3,360	3.46	0.71		

15 - The instructor presented him/herself in a positive, professional manner. Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
Disagree	(2)	1	6.67%								
Agree	(3)	5	33.33%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	9	60.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
15/27 (55.56%)	3.53	0.64		3,330	3.58	0.59	3,330	3.58	0.59		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2011-D: October ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA121 : TYPOGRAPHY 1 : GDA121-3583

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

16 - The instructor's use of the textbook supported and reinforced my understanding of the course content.
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.88%				2.86	3.32	3.32		
Disagree	(2)	1	5.88%								
Agree	(3)	11	64.71%								
Strongly Agree	(4)	1	5.88%								
No Textbook	(0)	3	17.65%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
17/27 (62.96%)	2.86	0.66		3,383	3.32	0.77	3,383	3.32	0.77		

17 - The instructor made course materials available online through Blackboard.
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Yes	(1)	17	100.00%				1.00	1.32	1.32		
No	(2)	0	0.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
17/27 (62.96%)	1.00	0.00		3,362	1.32	0.47	3,362	1.32	0.47		

18 - Overall, the instructor's performance was:
 Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Poor	(1)	1	5.88%				3.12	3.46	3.46		
Fair	(2)	3	17.65%								
Good	(3)	6	35.29%								
Excellent	(4)	7	41.18%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate	Mean	STD		All PTI Faculty	Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD		
17/27 (62.96%)	3.12	0.93		3,389	3.46	0.75	3,389	3.46	0.75		

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2011-D: October ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA121 : TYPOGRAPHY 1 : GDA121-3583

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

19 - Overall, my experience in this course was:
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means					
Poor	(1)	2	12.50%				2.94	3.30	3.30			
Fair	(2)	2	12.50%									
Good	(3)	7	43.75%									
Excellent	(4)	5	31.25%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty		Mean	STD
16/27 (59.26%)		2.94	1.00	3,376			3.30	0.84	3,376		3.30	0.84

20 - In my opinion, the workload in this course was:
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means					
Insufficient	(1)	0	0.00%				3.35	3.08	3.08			
Light	(2)	0	0.00%									
Average	(3)	11	64.71%									
High	(4)	6	35.29%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty		Mean	STD
17/27 (62.96%)		3.35	0.49	3,364			3.08	0.60	3,364		3.08	0.60

21 - In my opinion, the intellectual challenge in this course was:
Sarah Davis

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means					
insufficient	(1)	0	0.00%				3.06	3.13	3.13			
Light	(2)	1	5.88%									
Average	(3)	14	82.35%									
High	(4)	2	11.76%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty	
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty		Mean	STD
17/27 (62.96%)		3.06	0.43	3,379			3.13	0.66	3,379		3.13	0.66

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2011-D: October ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA121 : TYPOGRAPHY 1 : GDA121-3583

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

22 - My overall GPA to date at PTI is: Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
Below 2.00	(1)	2	12.50%								
2.50 - 2.99	(2)	7	43.75%								
3.00 - 3.49	(3)	7	43.75%								
3.50 - 4.00	(4)	0	0.00%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
16/27 (59.26%)		2.31	0.70	3,318			2.95	0.86	3,318	2.95	0.86

23 - My probable grade to date in this course is: Sarah Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses			Means				
F	(1)	0	0.00%								
D	(2)	2	11.76%								
C	(3)	8	47.06%								
B	(4)	6	35.29%								
A	(5)	1	5.88%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	All PTI Faculty	All Program Faculty
Return Rate		Mean	STD	All PTI Faculty			Mean	STD	All Program Faculty	Mean	STD
17/27 (62.96%)		3.35	0.79	3,396			4.06	1.02	3,396	4.06	1.02

24 - Describe aspects of the course that helped you learn. Sarah Davis	
Return Rate	6/27 (22.22%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Hands on work with type styles and exercises. - She is allowing me to makeup a project that didn't submit (the reason for the C) she was always willing to go above and beyond to help. She made herself available inside and outside of classes. - she is a good teacher but does not give a fair amount of time to finish a project and she moves too fast when giving instructions. - Projects to really help how to learn typography. - the teacher gave excellent instructions, examples, and also helped if asked for it. also gave feedback on projects which helped to create an even better project. - Just working with type was an interesting experience, and thinking of the ways to use it in class keeps me interested in the course. 	

Pittsburgh Technical Institute
2011-D: October ON-GROUND Course Evaluation

Course: GDA121 : TYPOGRAPHY 1 : GDA121-3583

Instructor: Sarah Davis *

25 - List suggestions you have to improve the course. Sarah Davis	
Return Rate	7/27 (25.93%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More time to complete assignments. Most students and myself in this class work after class and do not have the time to mount and or finish projects outside of class. - None - slow down and give a fair timeline on projects. - Different projects. - nothing. - Make things less complicated then they really need to be. I mean the whole quote mounting project is so monotonous and annoying that it's hard to actually take the amount of pointless extra steps seriously. - Less work load more time to work on projects. 	

Mean of Means Calculations	
	Mean
Organization and Classroom Management	3.37
Rapport and Enthusiasm	3.12
Teaching Skill and Content Mastery	3.14